## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

## MHA, LLC d/b/a MEADOWLANDS HOSPITAL MEDICAL CENTER

and	Cases 22-CA-086823
	22-CA-089716
HEALTH PROFESSIONALS AND ALLIED	22-CA-090437
EMPLOYEES, AFT/AFL-CIO	22-CA-091025
	22-CA-091521
	22-CA-092061
	22-CA-096650
	22-CA-097214
	22-CA-099492
	22-CA-100324
	22-CA-106694

## ORDER<sup>1</sup>

The Respondent requests special permission to appeal from the following orders of Administrative Law Judge Steven Davis:

- (1) the March 11, 2016 Order on Respondent's Subpoenas to third parties New Jersey Health Care Quality Institute; New Jersey Citizen Action, and Wardell Sanders:
- (2) the March 28, 2016 Order revising March 11 Order on privilege log;
- (3) the April 22, 2016 Order on Union's motion to reconsider March 11 Order on subpoenas to third parties;
- (4) the May 5, 2016 Order granting Union's petition to revoke subpoena; and
- (5) the May 5, 2016 refusal to issue a subpoena ad testificandum to David Knowlton.

The Respondent's request for permission to appeal is denied as to requests (1), (3), (4), and (5). This denial is without prejudice to the Respondent's right to renew its arguments before the Board on any exceptions that may be filed to the judge's decision, if appropriate.

The Respondent's request for permission to appeal is granted as to request (2), and we remand this matter to the judge to provide in his decision on the merits of the complaint

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The National Labor Relations Board has delegated its authority in this proceeding to a three-member panel.

allegations a more detailed rationale, based on record evidence, for finding that certain documents related to the Union's communications with attorney Kenneth Pringle are privileged based on attorney-client privilege. <sup>2</sup>

Dated, Washington, D.C., August 22, 2016.

MARK GASTON PEARCE, CHAIRMAN

PHILIP A. MISCIMARRA, MEMBER

LAUREN McFERRAN, MEMBER

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Respondent also requested that the Board stay the proceedings because the judge's rulings prejudice its ability to prove its affirmative defense. We deny this request.